Gender and autistic personality traits predict perspective-taking ability in typical adults
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1. Introduction

The ability to imagine oneself in another person’s shoes, or visual perspective taking (VPT), allows us to temporarily experience the world from an imagined perspective. This ability is critical to everyday social interaction, and considered an important precursor to empathizing with and understanding another’s psychological state (Hamilton, Brindley, & Frith, 2009). Despite its importance and ubiquity in everyday experience, surprisingly few studies have examined individual differences that predict the ability to adopt another’s perspective. The individual differences responsible for success in perspective-taking, however, remain relatively undiscovered. We assessed whether gender and autistic personality traits in normal college student adults predict the ability to adopt another’s visual perspective. In a task differentially recruiting VPT-1 which involves following another’s line of sight, and VPT-2 which involves determining how another may perceive an object differently given their unique perspective (VPT-2), we found effects of both gender and autistic personality traits. Specifically, we demonstrate slowed VPT-2 but not VPT-1 performance in males and females with relatively high ASD-characteristic personality traits; this effect, however, was markedly stronger in males than females. Results contribute to knowledge regarding ASD-related personality traits in the general population and the individual differences modulating perspective-taking abilities.

Adopting another’s visual perspective is exceedingly common and may underlie successful social interaction and empathizing with others. The individual differences responsible for success in perspective-taking, however, remain relatively undiscovered. We assessed whether gender and autistic personality traits in normal college student adults predict the ability to adopt another’s visual perspective. In a task differentially recruiting VPT-1 which involves following another’s line of sight, and VPT-2 which involves determining how another may perceive an object differently given their unique perspective (VPT-2), we found effects of both gender and autistic personality traits. Specifically, we demonstrate slowed VPT-2 but not VPT-1 performance in males and females with relatively high ASD-characteristic personality traits; this effect, however, was markedly stronger in males than females. Results contribute to knowledge regarding ASD-related personality traits in the general population and the individual differences modulating perspective-taking abilities.
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1. Introduction

The ability to imagine oneself in another person’s shoes, or visual perspective taking (VPT), allows us to temporarily experience the world from an imagined perspective. This ability is critical to everyday social interaction, and considered an important precursor to empathizing with and understanding another’s psychological state (Hamilton, Brindley, & Frith, 2009). Despite its importance and ubiquity in everyday experience, surprisingly few studies have examined individual differences that predict the ability to adopt another’s perspective (e.g., Eisenberg & Lennon, 1983; Leith & Baumeister, 1998; Tangney, 1995). The present study further elucidates the individual differences that may be associated with perspective-taking abilities by examining whether sub-clinical autistic personality traits in typical adults predict perspective-taking ability.

Visual perspective-taking involves two separable cognitive, neural, and developmental mechanisms: VPT-1 and VPT-2 (Flavell, Green, & Flavell, 1986). The first level of VPT is involved in determining whether a person can see an object by following their line of sight (VPT-1); for instance, understanding whether an individual can or cannot see an occluded object. The second level of VPT involves the ability to adopt another’s perspective in order to understand their unique vantage point (VPT-2); for instance, understanding that an object looks different from another person’s perspective, or that it is to their right or left (Davis, 1983). This ability is generally more cognitively demanding than VPT-1, tends to develop later in childhood, and involves somewhat different cortical networks relative to VPT-1 (e.g., Ohnishi et al., 2004; Ruby & Decety, 2001). Some recent work suggests that the ability to adopt another’s perspective is separable from more general spatial abilities, such as mental rotation or the ability to manipulate objects (Hegarty & Waller, 2004; Kozhevnikov & Hegarty, 2001). When considered in isolation from these more general visuospatial abilities, only a few studies have identified individual differences that specifically predict perspective-taking ability. For instance, Leith and Baumeister (1998) found that guilt-prone individuals showed greater perspective-taking abilities during a task that involved describing interpersonal conflict experiences from another’s perspective. Some other work suggests that females generally show greater perspective-taking abilities than males (Eisenberg & Lennon, 1983); even by 3 years of age girls show a greater ability than boys to adopt another’s perspective to infer what they are thinking or intending (Happe, 1995). Even in adults, perspective-taking surveys consistently demonstrate higher self-rated perspective-taking ability.
and empathizing in female versus male respondents (Davis, 1980; Davis & Kraus, 1991). This gender difference may reflect females being thought of as having generally more empathizing and males more systemizing personalities, the latter of which is frequently associated with the autism spectrum (Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Skinner, Martin, & Clubley, 2001b; Kessler, in press). Supporting this contention, the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder diagnosis is four times higher in boys than girls (e.g., Kogan et al., 2009).

Some recent research suggests that VPT-2 is specifically impaired in children with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) relative to a closely matched group of typically developing children (Hamilton et al., 2009). The ability to perform tasks demanding VPT-2 is often linked to mentalizing, which involves imagining oneself in another's shoes to understand their beliefs and predict behavior. Studies examining mentalizing in autism have consistently shown impairment in the ability to understand others' beliefs, and neuromaging studies have demonstrated that mentalizing and VPT-2 tasks may share overlapping neural resources (e.g., Aichhorn, Perner, Kronbichler, Staffen, & Ladurner, 2006). In contrast, studies examining VPT-1 abilities in ASDs demonstrate that these tasks are performed at a level similar to that seen in typical development (e.g., Baron-Cohen, 1989).

Recent theoretical enumerations suggest that the characteristic impairments seen in ASDs are thought to lie on a continuum of social and communication abnormalities that extends into the typical population (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b). This conceptualization proposes a more quantitative than categorical or qualitative approach to both diagnosis and theoretical understanding of ASDs (Frith, 2001). The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ; Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b) allows for a non-diagnostic assessment of sub-clinical autistic traits, with higher scores indicating a greater degree of ASD-characteristic traits. The AQ has been validated in international samples of typical adults and individuals with Asperger syndrome and high functioning autism, demonstrating both high reliability and criterion validity (e.g., Wakabayashi, Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, & Tojo, 2006).

Though there is a lack of research specifically testing whether individual differences in autistic traits measured with the AQ may predict visual perspective-taking abilities, there is some strong evidence to believe that this relationship may exist, specifically with regard to VPT-2 performance. First, as detailed above, some recent work suggests that children diagnosed with ASD show impairment during tasks challenging VPT-2, relative to matched controls (Hamilton et al., 2009). Second, individual differences in autistic personality traits among typical adults predict performance on some tasks impaired in autism, such as empathizing with others as a function of facial expression (e.g., Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Hill, Raste, & Plumb, 2001a). Perspective-taking is also thought to be the primary component responsible for successful empathizing (e.g., Underwood & Moore, 1982); it could be the case that variation in visual and/or cognitive perspective-taking as a function of autism spectrum traits might prove at least partially responsible for failed empathizing. Third, high autistic personality traits (using the AQ) have also been shown to predict brain structure and function, with higher AQ scores predicting decreased white matter volume and increased cortical deactivation in brain areas considered critical to understanding social cues, such as the posterior superior temporal sulcus (von dem Hagen et al., 2011). The advent of the AQ uniquely provides a means for quantitatively assessing autistic traits in the typical population, and gaining insights into the individual differences that might predict perspective-taking abilities.

In the present work, we administered the AQ to a sample of typical adults and assessed their VPT ability using a task that distinguishes between VPT-1 and VPT-2. If autistic traits in the typical population differentially predict VPT-1 versus VPT-2 ability, then individuals with higher AQ should show performance differences on trials targeting VPT-2, but not VPT-1. To converge with the extant literature, we also assessed whether gender would moderate VPT-1 and VPT-2 performance. We expected that AQ may prove a stronger predictor of male relative to female VPT-2 performance. Such results would contribute to knowledge regarding the cognitive mechanisms involved in perspective taking, and the individual differences that modulate the ability to temporarily adopt another’s visual perspective.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

One hundred and forty undergraduates (77 females, age \( M = 19.9, SD = 1.8 \); 63 males, age \( M = 19.8, SD = 2.1 \)) who did not meet the diagnostic criteria for psychiatric disorders provided informed consent. The majority of participants self-identified as Caucasian (n = 121), six as African American, and nine as Asian (four opted to remain undisclosed).

2.2. Materials

We used the mini Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV to screen for Axis-I clinical diagnoses (mini-SCID), allowing for a reasonable assurance that our sample represented a non-clinical population.

We administered the self-report AQ to measure individual differences in autistic traits (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b). The AQ contains 50 questions assessing social skills (e.g., I enjoy social occasions), attention switching (e.g., I prefer to do things the same way over and over again), attention to detail (e.g., I often notice small sounds when others do not), communication (e.g., I enjoy social chitchat), and imagination (e.g., I find making up stories easy). Participants respond using a four-point scale with anchors Definitely Agree, Slightly Agree, Slightly Disagree, and Definitely Disagree. Validation studies (e.g., Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b; Wakabayashi et al., 2006) demonstrate high test–retest reliability (r = .70), and moderate to high internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha = .63–.77) in both normal and autistic samples.

A VPT task (Kessler & Thomson, 2010) used a series of images depicting an avatar seated at a circular table at each of six angle positions (60°, 110°, 160°, 200°, 250°, 300°) arranged in a clockwise manner (Fig. 1). In the center of the table is a small barrier that is either perpendicular to (VPT-1) or parallel to (VPT-2) the facing direction of the avatar. There were four images for each of the six angle positions, two of which depicted a small red light either visible or hidden from the avatar (in front of or behind the barrier; VPT-1), and two depicted the light to the left or right of the barrier (VPT-2). The VPT-1 task involves simply following the avatar’s line of sight to determine whether he can see an object or not; the VPT-2 task involves adopting the avatar’s perspective in order to determine how the object looks from his unique perspective. The VPT-2 task becomes more difficult at higher angular deviation from the participant’s orientation (0°), as demonstrated by increasing response times (Kessler & Thomson, 2010). The VPT-1 task, in contrast, does not vary in response times as a function of angular deviation.

2.3. Procedure

Participants first completed the computerized mini-SCID and AQ, and then began the VPT task. After a brief practice session, 14 blocks alternated between sets of VPT-1 (visible/hidden) and VPT-2 (left/right) tasks, with each block containing four sets of
12 trials (two trials per angular position), for a total of 672 trials. A 500 ms central fixation cross appeared between each successive trial. Participants were instructed to press labeled keys to respond to either whether the light was visible or hidden from the avatar’s view (VPT-1), or whether the light was to the avatar’s left or right (VPT-2), as quickly and accurately as possible. If a participant did not respond to a trial within 5 s, the trial would terminate and move on. Whether the first block was VPT-1 or VPT-2 was balanced across participants, and trials were randomly presented within each set. No formal breaks were provided, though participants were given instructions prompts prior to each successive block, during which they typically paused for 5–10 s prior to continuing. Accuracy and response time data were automatically compiled by the SuperLab 4.0 (Cedrus Inc.) software. Overall, participants took approximately 10–15 min to complete the VPT task.

3. Results

3.1. Scoring

The mini-SCID resulted in the removal of 21 participants due to self-reported depression, mania, delusions, and/or hallucinations. Seven participants were removed due to near-chance accuracy on the VPT task (<65%; range 52–63%; \(M = 57.2\%\)), which in all cases seemed to involve difficulty distinguishing right from left across all VPT-2 angles.

The AQ was scored using the method described by Austin and colleagues (i.e., Austin, 2005; Stewart & Austin, 2009), which uses raw Likert-based 1–4 responses (rather than 0–1 coding), and reverse-scoring as necessary (i.e., Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b). Scores were approximately normally distributed (Fisher, 1930; skewness = −.06), ranging from 123 to 167 (\(M = 146.1, SD = 10.3, median = 146\)). Internal consistency was high, with an overall Cronbach’s Alpha of .71. AQ scores were similar across the two genders: females showed a mean of 145.1 (range 120–167), and males a mean of 146.1 (range 117–185). AQ scoring using the conventional 0–1 procedure confirmed that all participants were below the clinical (i.e., clinically relevant autism spectrum disorder) threshold (<32) defined by Baron-Cohen et al. (2001b).

3.2. Analyses

Accuracy was high overall on both the VPT-1 (\(M = .998, SD = .006\)) and VPT-2 (\(M = .999, SD = .005\)) tasks. Response times exceeding an individual’s mean ±2.5SD were removed before analysis (2.1% of all data). Overall response time data as a function of VPT Task and Angle are detailed in Table 1. To assess gender and AQ effects on VPT, analyses proceeded in two steps. First, we conducted an omnibus analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with AQ scores as a covariate in a 2 (Task: VPT-1, VPT-2) × 6 (Angle: 60°, 110°, 160°, 200°, 250°, 300°) × 2 (Gender: Male, Female) mixed design. We then conducted a series of linear regressions using AQ scores as predictors, with response times on VPT-1 and VPT-2 tasks as dependent measures, separately for males and females.

3.3. ANCOVA

The omnibus ANCOVA revealed a main effect of Angle, \(F(5, 545) = 4.49, p < .01, \eta^2 < .01\), and two 2-way interactions, one between Angle and AQ, \(F(5, 545) = 8.87, p < .01, \eta^2 = .01\), and another between Angle and Task, \(F(5, 545) = 4.54, p < .01, \eta^2 < .01\). These effects were qualified by a 3-way interaction between Angle, Task and AQ, \(F(5, 545) = 8.08, p < .01, \eta^2 = .01\), and a 4-way interaction between Angle, Task, AQ and Gender, \(F(10, 545) = 4.45, p < .01, \eta^2 = .01\). To follow-up on the 4-way interaction, we conducted two separate 6 (Angle) × 2 (Gender) × AQ mixed ANCOVAs, one for each VPT Task. For VPT-1, there were no main or interactive effects (all \(p’s > .05, F’s > 1.4\)). For VPT-2, there was a main effect of Angle, \(F(5, 540) = 7.08, p < .01, \eta^2 = .05\), and two 2-way interactions, one between Angle and AQ, \(F(5, 540) = 11.19, p < .01, \eta^2 = .09\), and one between Angle and Gender, \(F(5, 540) = 2.5, p < .05, \eta^2 = .02\).

Fig. 1. Sample VPT-1 (top row) and VPT-2 (bottom row) trials at 60° (left column) and 200° (right column). The upper left image depicts a hidden VPT-1 trial, upper right a visible VPT-1 trial, lower left depicts a left VPT-2 trial, and lower right a right VPT-2 trial.
These effects were qualified by a 3-way interaction between Angle, AQ, and Gender, $F(5, 540) = 2.31, p < .05, \eta^2 = .02$.

To follow-up on the 3-way interaction within VPT-2, we conducted two separate ANCOVAs with Angle and AQ as factors, one within Males and one within Females. For Males, there was a main effect of Angle, $F(5, 165) = 6.03, p < .01, \eta^2 = .13$, and an Angle by AQ interaction, $F(5, 165) = 7.87, p < .01, \eta^2 = .17$. Females also showed an Angle by AQ interaction, $F(5, 375) = 2.95, p < .05, \eta^2 = .04$. Note, however, that AQ was a stronger modulator of the Angle effect for Males ($\eta^2 = .17$) than for Females ($\eta^2 = .04$).

3.4. Regressions

To test the linearity and directionality of AQ’s effect on VPT-2 performance as a function of Gender, we conducted a series of simple linear regressions. In all regressions, we used AQ scores to predict the magnitude of the Angle effect \[
\Delta \text{RT} = \frac{(\text{RT}_{160\degree} + \text{RT}_{200\degree})}{2} - \frac{(\text{RT}_{60\degree} + \text{RT}_{110\degree} + \text{RT}_{250\degree} + \text{RT}_{300\degree})}{4}.
\]

Confirming the ANCOVA results, the first regression considered all participants and revealed significant predictive value of the AQ for VPT-2 (see Fig. 2b), $\beta_{\text{adj}} = .37, t(111) = 4.15, p < .01, R^2 = .14$, but not VPT-1 scores, $\beta_{\text{adj}} = .06, t(111) = .62, p > .05$, $R^2 < .01$ (see Fig. 2a). In general, those with higher AQ showed a more dramatic Angle effect in VPT-2 than those with lower AQ.

The second and third regressions considered Males only, and then Females only. The Males showed significant predictive value of the AQ for VPT-2, $\beta_{\text{adj}} = .52, t(34) = 3.51, p < .01, R^2 = .27$, but not VPT-1 scores, $\beta_{\text{adj}} = .22, t(34) = 1.32, p > .05$, $R^2 = .05$. Female data patterned similarly, with significant predictive value of the AQ for VPT-2, $\beta_{\text{adj}} = .26, t(76) = 2.36, p < .05, R^2 = .07$, but not VPT-1 scores, $\beta_{\text{adj}} = -.01, t(76) = .08, p > .05$, $R^2 < .01$. As expected, the predictive value of AQ toward VPT-2 performance was nearly four times greater for Males ($R^2 = .27$) relative to Females ($R^2 = .07$).

4. Discussion

The present study examined whether autistic personality traits in typical adults predict the ability to perform a visual perspective-taking task that differentially recruits VPT-1 and VPT-2 abilities, and whether these effects might be modulated by participant gender. Results suggest that sub-clinical autistic traits characterizing typical adults predict the ability to adopt another person’s unique perspective. Specifically, though both low- and high-AQ individuals showed the typical pattern of increased response times as a function of angular deviation when performing the VPT-2 task, those with high AQ scores showed higher response times relative to those with low AQ scores. Interestingly, these results were most pronounced at high angular deviation, where the VPT-2 task is more likely to involve mentalizing and/or mental simulation of another’s perspective. At the relatively low angular deviations the participant’s self-referenced perspective is similar to the avatar’s perspective, but higher angular deviations demand a decoupling of the two perspectives (Kessler, in press). In contrast, VPT-1 performance was not predicted by AQ scores, and did not vary as a function of angular deviation.

There was also evidence that the effects of AQ on VPT-2 performance are stronger for males than females. This result supports the notion that males may show lower fluidity in adopting another’s visual perspective; this gender difference in visual perspective-taking may prove instrumental in the more general ability to understand what others see, think and feel (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001a, 2001b; Eisenberg & Lennon, 1983; Happe, 1995). Such a finding may reflect the lower frequency with which males versus females report adopting someone else’s perspective during everyday situations (Davis, 1980; Davis & Kraus, 1991). Note that, as detailed in the Method section, the present gender differences cannot be attributed to differences between males and females in terms of AQ means or ranges.

These results contribute to the extant literature in three primary ways. First, we begin filling the knowledge gap concerning

---

**Table 1**

Mean and standard deviation response times during the VPT-1 and VPT-2 tasks, at each of the six angles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>VPT-1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>VPT-2</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td></td>
<td>735.9</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>877.1</td>
<td>215.4</td>
<td>888.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td></td>
<td>722.8</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>705.5</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>728.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°</td>
<td></td>
<td>833.5</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>877.1</td>
<td>202.9</td>
<td>810.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200°</td>
<td></td>
<td>722.8</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>705.5</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>728.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250°</td>
<td></td>
<td>833.5</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>877.1</td>
<td>202.9</td>
<td>810.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300°</td>
<td></td>
<td>775.9</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>829.4</td>
<td>231.3</td>
<td>766.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2.** Regressions demonstrating the predictive value of the AQ score in determining the mean angular deviation effect for VPT-1 (panel a) and VPT-2 (panel b).
the individual differences predictive of the everyday cognitive feat of temporarily adopting another’s perspective. Though perspective-taking has traditionally been viewed as requiring similar cognitive mechanisms as some basic visuospatial tasks such as mental rotation, more recent work has suggested that perspective-taking may be a relatively isolated cognitive process both behaviorally (Hegarty & Waller, 2004; Kozhevnikov & Hegarty, 2001) and neurally (Ruby & Decety, 2001, 2003, 2004). Given its ubiquity in daily life, there is a surprising paucity of empirical research aimed at isolating and characterizing the individual differences that might predict perspective-taking ability. We support the earlier survey-based (Davis, 1980) and experimental (Eisenberg & Lennon, 1983) research suggesting that females show generally greater perspective-taking ability, and extend this work to show that females’ versus males’ ability to adopt perspectives is less vulnerable to individual differences in autistic personality traits.

Second, we validate the notion that autistic traits measured using the AQ extend into the typical population and can be used to predict behavior on tasks characteristically impaired in individuals with ASDs (e.g., Baron-Cohen et al., 2001b). This recent approach to understanding clinical disorders by examining the predictive value of individual differences towards cognitive task performance and neural functioning in typical adults may prove valuable to the understanding of ASDs (e.g., von dem Hagen et al., 2011), and understanding the individual differences that predict task variance in typical adults. Finally, we contribute to theoretical dissociations between levels of visual perspective-taking by demonstrating differential predictive value of AQ in guiding VPT-1 versus VPT-2 abilities. Our results are congruent with work demonstrating separable cognitive and neural mechanisms responsible for the two VPT tasks, as supported by later evolutionary and developmental (e.g., Hamilton et al., 2009; Tomasello, Carpenter, Call, Behne, & Moll, 2005) maturation of VPT-2 versus VPT-1 abilities.

Putting yourself in another’s shoes in order to understand the world from their perspective is an exceedingly common task during social interactions; for instance, when describing where an object is relative to another (e.g., Ruby & Decety, 2001) or giving instructions on how to navigate (e.g., When you see the psychology building, turn left.) (Brunéy, Ditman, Mahoney, Augustyn, & Taylor, 2009; Brunéy, Rapp, & Taylor, 2008). Some work suggests that the ability to adopt another’s visual perspective is an important precursor to successful empathizing, and also proves predictive of prosocial behavior such as helping, sharing and donating (Underwood & Moore, 1982; Yum & Schenck-Hamlin, 2005). Future work may attempt to disentangle the visual, cognitive and emotional components of empathizing that might be predicted by variation in autism spectrum traits. Overall, we demonstrate that gender, and autistic personality traits characterize the typical population, may prove valuable in predicting a person’s ability to perform an everyday visual perspective-taking task. These findings begin to specify the individual differences predictive of the ability for people to temporaroly imagine themselves viewing the world from another person’s perspective.
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